

STEDHAM WITH IPING PARISH COUNCIL

Chairman: Eddie Lintott
Clerk: Jane Crawford
email: clerk@stedhamwithiping-pc.gov.uk

MINUTES: Meeting of Stedham with Iping Parish Council Planning Committee
No. 11/1(15-19)

Held on: Wednesday 11 February 2016 at 6.45 pm

At: Stedham Memorial Hall

Present: Eddie Lintott Planning Chairman
David Burton Debra Chalton
Caroline Frost Olia Mitskevich
Colin Moseley Lucy Petrie
John Wheelhouse

In attendance: 3 parishioners

The Openness of Local Government Bodies Regulations are in force, giving a right to members of the public to record (film, photograph and audio-record) and report on proceedings at meeting of the Council and its Committees.

1. **DECLARATIONS OF INTERESTS:** None
2. **APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE:** None
3. **MINUTES OF MEETING :** No 10/1 (15-19) held on 20 January 2016 were agreed and signed.

4. APPLICATIONS

SDNP/15/06516/FUL - 3 and 4 St Cuthmans Tote Lane Stedham - Demolition and replacement of 2 no. 3 bedroom semi-detached houses with 2 no. 2/3 bedroom semi-detached houses with garages.

The Chairman commented that any replacement would be better than the ghastly existing houses. The applicants could not attend, but a parishioner, who was hoping to buy one of the new houses, would try to help if needed.

Caroline Frost thought the application was interesting and with desirable eco features.

John Wheelhouse had studied the pre-application papers and felt it was a pity that the adjacent traditional barn had not been included in this application as it was important to see how the extension of the barn would be incorporated in the plan.

The applicants had emailed to explain that they had wanted to include the barn and the old hangar in the application, but had been advised to make three separate applications.

John Wheelhouse felt that the new proposal was bigger in massing and would be better if the roofs were parallel to the road as there would also be less impact on the landscape.

He suggested that the zinc roofs would be unattractive and were not good for the environment because the zinc had to be imported with resultant air miles. He said that slate or clay tiles would be preferable.

David Burton was concerned about light pollution. It was pointed out that the plans provided for the modest windows to have shutter screens. He supported the application, but was also concerned about the zinc roofs which with previous experience had gone shiny with age.

Olia Mitskevich noted that the footprint was larger and there was increased height compared to the existing buildings. A pre-application was mentioned in the application form, but appeared not to have been completed so the final outcome was unknown. Therefore, why had the applicant submitted a full application while the pre-app advice had not been completed?

It was explained that the incompleting pre-application advice only concerned the barn and the hangar.

SIPC: No objections. However clay tiles or slate would be preferable roof coverings and be more in keeping with the surroundings. Proposed by David Burton, seconded by Lucy Petrie, with all in favour except for one abstention.

SDNP/16/00532/TCA – Coachman’s Cottage Iping – Fell 3 holly trees and 3 yew trees.

Although the application had not been advertised, the Clerk had spoken to neighbours one of whom had no objections and one of whom particularly did not wish to see the holly tree near the footpath removed. It was agreed that basically the trees had seeded themselves and the holly was growing like a weed. **SIPC: No objections.** Proposed by Lucy Petrie, seconded by David Burton. All in favour except for one abstention.

Aylings Garden Centre, Trotton: Two parishioners had asked SIPC to object to the proposed huge new building 25% of which was double storey. The SDNPA Landscape Officer had already objected that the greater mass of the building would be seen from Iping Common and be harmful to the landscape character.

The Chairman said that it was customary for parish councils not to interfere in matters concerning other parishes. If the council did not agree, then a public meeting would need to be held to discuss the matter.

It was agreed that SIPC would not send in a response to the application, but of course members could write in personally if they wished.

5. SDNPA DECISIONS

SDNP/14/05347/ADV - Stedham Common - 3 no. A3 field gate signs, 3 no. A4 pedestrian gate signs, 1 no. 900 car park sign and 1 no. main introduction board. (10/12/2014). New notice of changes to application. **SDNPA: Approved.**

6. DECISIONS AWAITED

SDNP/13/06169/ROMP - Minsted Sandpit - Periodic review of minerals planning permission.

SDNP/15/04045/CND – Rotherhill House - Variation of condition 7 relating to SJ/07/01805/DOM

SDNP/15/06481/HOUS - Mill House Iping - Brick flue to oil fired aga in kitchen and open porch to kitchen entrance door

SDNP/16/00131/HOUS - Tote Hill Cottage Tote Lane Stedham - Demolition of larder and boiler room and construction of part 2 storey extension and alterations.

7. PUBLIC INQUIRY

Commons Act 2006 - Section 38: Proposed works on Iping and Trotton Commons (Fencing) – Ref No. 749 – To be begin at 10 am on 26 April 2016 and 27 and 28 April 2016 as necessary at Cowdray Hall, Easebourne. Supply information requested to SWT by 15 February. Lucy Petrie was having a meeting later that evening.

8. ENFORCEMENT

St Cuthman site – external lighting and possible mishandling of asbestos. These matters had been raised at CDC Enforcement and with the Health & Safety Executive by a parishioner.

Another parishioner had written in about the lighting. It was agreed that SIPC should write to CCDC and HSE about the situation.

SJ/SDNP/12/00 135/UCU Home Barn (Poultry Farm) – Use of land as garden. Notice complied with. CDC enforcement had requested that a fence be built and this had been done. However, the site was getting more and more untidy with horse boxes and other large vehicles being in view of the common.

The lower field was also fairly untidy with two cars and a caravan among other bits and pieces.

The Clerk was asked to write to CDC enforcement on the matter.

It had been reported that gates had been put across the railway line at the Jays Charcoal site at Ingrams Green. This was in contravention to planning restrictions on all old railway routes in West Sussex. Enquiries would be made and the matter discussed at the next meeting.

9. **CORRESPONDENCE/emails.** David Burton said that the SSA was applying for two tennis courts.

10. **DATE OF NEXT MEETING: To be arranged when there is an application**

11. **DATE OF NEXT MEETING: To be arranged when there is an application**

CONCLUSION: 7.30

CHAIRMAN..... DATE.....